


<b>Search Notes</b> 	<b>Application/Control No.</b> 10743547	<b>Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination</b> LOUZ-ON, MICHAL
	<b>Examiner</b> Tang, Karen C	<b>Art Unit</b> 2451

### SEARCHED

Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner
709	223	9/12/07	KT
705	35	9/12/07	/KT/
709	225,226,227,228,229,230	10/15/08	/KT/

### SEARCH NOTES

Search Notes	Date	Examiner
East Search Notes	9/12/07	/KT/
Google Search	9/12/07	/KT/
East Search Notes in Class 709/223	4/28/08	/KT/
East Search Notes in Key Word Search in class 709/223	4/28/08	/KT/
ACM Search	4/28/08	/KT/
Google Search	4/28/08	/KT/
IEEE Search	4/28/08	/KT/
Inventor Search	10/14/08	/KT/
Double Patenting Search	10/14/08	/KT/
East Search Notes on Class 709/225,226,227,228,229,230	10/15/08	/KT/
East Search Notes on Class 709/225,226,227,228,229,230 for key word search	10/15/08	/KT/
Spoke to Todd S (QAS) regarding with 101 issues, and has decided that Claims 1, 10, 15, constitute 101 issues. Claim 20 isn't 101 because it is means + function, and for means + function, the specification did not explicitly indicate the means + functions are composed of software, therefore, isn't 101. Claim 25 because the term "computer-readable memory device" isn't supported in the specification, given its broadest reasonable interpretation, memory is associated with hardware unless	10/27/08	/KT/
Otherwise stated in the specification, therefore, Claim 25 doesn't have 101 issues	10/27/08	/KT/

### INTERFERENCE SEARCH

Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner

--	--